App. No.: 10/714,208

Amendment Dater November 27, 2006

Reply to Final Office Action of October 26, 2006

REMARKS

Consideration of this Amendment After Final Rejection is earnestly solicited.

Claims 37 and 38 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite. Applicants have amended Claims 37 and 38 as suggested by the Examiner. It is

therefore respectfully submitted that this rejection is now moot.

Claims 34-38 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply

with the written description requirement. The Examiner states that the limitation "wherein said

color developer does not contribute oxygen to said reaction" is "new matter". Applicants have

canceled the objected to phrase in each of Claims 34 and 35. Therefore it is believed that this

rejection is now moot.

There being no further rejections to the claims, it is respectfully submitted that Claims

30-38 are in condition for allowance. Review and allowance at the earliest possible date is

requested.

Respectfully submitted,

/Ernest B. Lipscomb, III/

Ernest B. Lipscomb, III

Attorney for Applicants Registration Number 24,733

Customer No. 40842

Barnwell Whaley Patterson & Helms, LLC

P.O. Drawer H

Charleston, SC 29402-0197

Phone: (843) 577-7700

Fax:

(843) 577-7708

PLECTRONICALLY FILED USING THE PES-WEB PLECTRONIC FILING SYSTEM OF THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND

TRADEMARK OFFICE ON NOVEMBER 27, 2006.

5